JPR Advance Access published February 16, 2016

Journal of
Plankton Research

plankt.oxfordjournals.org

J. Plankton Res. (2016) 0(0): 1–15. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbw004

The influence of viscosity on the motility and sensory ability of the dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa triquetra*

MICHAEL J. ORCHARD[1*], STUART HUMPHRIES[1], RUDI SCHUECH[1] AND SUSANNE MENDEN-DEUER[2]

[1]SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF LINCOLN, BRAYFORD POOL, LINCOLN, UK AND [2]THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF OCEANOGRAPHY, THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, NARRAGANSETT, RI, USA

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: m_orchard@ymail.com, morchard@lincoln.ac.uk

Received July 17, 2015; accepted January 13, 2016

Corresponding editor: John Dolan

Seawater viscosity is influenced by temperature as well as through excretion of exopolymers by some plankton. We examined the role of viscosity on the movement patterns and sensory abilities of the dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa triquetra*, manipulating the viscosity of seawater to simulate a 10 ± 1.5°C temperature change. In a second treatment, we seeded the water with microbeads to examine swimming behaviours in the presence of a mechanical stimulus. Increased viscosity reduced distances between conspecifics 4.7-fold and increased distances between protists and microbeads by 3.4-fold. Increased viscosity also affected other aspects of motility, with an overall reduction in swimming speed of 2.0- and 7.0-fold for treatments with and without mechanical stimuli. Higher viscosities were associated with upward vertical migration, in both the presence and absence of microbeads. Cells were highly sensitive to disturbances to the velocity field, by as little as 1.5%, and different approach distances of *H. triquetra* to conspecifics over mechanical stimuli suggest sensory capacity to distinguish types of particles. Mediation of motility and migratory behaviours through viscosity implies ramifications for the distribution of protists and their encounters with resources, predators and conspecifics triggered by events such as temperature changes and phytoplankton bloom events.

KEYWORDS: viscosity; protist; motility; sensing

INTRODUCTION

Planktonic organisms are constantly moving, either passively via entrainment in the surrounding (usually turbulent) fluid or through active propulsion. Through the act of locomotion and associated fluid movement, a plankter leaves behind chemical compounds and fluid disturbances in its wake that can be sensed and followed by conspecifics and predators, or avoided by prey, using chemo- or

available online at www.plankt.oxfordjournals.org

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

mechanosensory abilities (Yen, 2000; Weissburg, 2000; Codling *et al.*, 2010).

Owing to their small sizes, planktonic organisms generally operate at low Reynolds numbers (Re), where the fluid dynamics governing their actions are non-intuitive and the effects of viscosity dominate those of inertia. Although there have been studies investigating the influence of viscosity on fish larvae (Johnson *et al.*, 1998), zooplankton (Podolsky and Emlet, 1993; Podolsky, 1994) and bacteria (Chen and Berg, 2000), the influence of viscosity on behaviours in protists is poorly understood. Viscosity affects the transmission of fluid perturbations through the water such that for a given power output, the strength of the resulting fluid perturbation some distance away will be inversely related to viscosity. Viscosity might also affect signal generation (e.g. through qualitative changes in flagellar motion (Qin *et al.*, 2015)), as well as signal detection (e.g. varying the ability to detect a given perturbation strength at the sensor location). Viscosity changes in nature are most commonly driven by changes in temperature, with the two being negatively correlated (Podolsky and Emlet, 1993). The viscosity and viscoelastic properties of seawater are also influenced by the presence of biopolymers, proteins and macromolecules (Qin *et al.*, 2015), and some phytoplankton are able to alter the local viscosity of seawater through the excretion of mucous exopolymers (Seuront *et al.*, 2007, 2010; Prairie *et al.*, 2012).

Protistan motility not only transmits information via fluid disturbances but also has a direct relationship to encounter rates with particles such as predators, prey and conspecifics. Animal movement patterns are often classified as either diffusive or ballistic (Codling *et al.*, 2008), or may lie between these two ideals: at a sufficiently small scale, organism movement appears straight and highly correlated (ballistic), but the accumulated effects of random turns or reorientations typically cause trajectories to appear convoluted at larger scales, akin to diffusive transport of molecules. The fundamental differences between diffusive and ballistic movements lead to predicted encounter rates between organisms moving in the ballistic regime that are much higher than those in the diffusive regime. This is due to displacement increasing linearly with time in the ballistic case (as opposed to non-linear displacement in the diffusive case), with no backtracking of previously transited space (Visser and Kiørboe, 2006). Taylor's equation (Taylor, 1921; Codling *et al.*, 2008) can be used to describe the transition between these two movement regimes in a particle such as a dinoflagellate undergoing a continuous random walk, with the transition occurring at what are termed the correlation time and length scales. For an application of these theoretical considerations, see Schuech and Menden-Deuer (Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2014).

Plankton generate fluid disturbances by moving through water (Kiørboe and Visser, 1999; Svensen and Kiørboe, 2000; Jiang and Osborn, 2003; Jiang and Paffenhöfer, 2008; Kiørboe, 2013). If a plankter becomes entrained in an external fluid flow, such as the flow field generated by a feeding copepod, then at a large distance from the copepod, the "perception" of acceleration and deformation to its own flow field falls below a threshold value and elicits no escape response (Jiang and Osborn, 2003). Only when the plankter is sufficiently close to the copepod is a sensory threshold for flow field perturbation reached (Jakobsen, 2001). This sensing of flow perturbations could theoretically be bidirectional such that a motionless ambush predator might detect swimming plankters, and a swimming plankter might detect a motionless ambush predator (Zaret, 1980; Bundy *et al.*, 1998; Visser, 2001). These ideas have been supported by experiments: the free-swimming copepod *Diaptomus sicilis* (length 1.2 mm) was observed to detect and "attack" polystyrene beads suspended in the water body when within a distance of approximately one body length (1 mm) (Bundy *et al.*, 1998), and swimming dinoflagellates (*Heterocapsa rotundata*, *Gymnodinium simplex* and *Rhodomonas salina*) approaching the neutrally buoyant and passively drifting ciliate *Mesodinium pulex* were able to detect the ciliate and escape capture in response to hydromechanical cues (Jakobsen, 2001). Nonetheless, a mechanistic understanding of sensory capabilities of plankters has been hindered by lack of experimental data.

While hydrodynamic disturbances due to conspecifics might be identified as being from non-threatening moving bodies of similar size, the inert microbeads in our experiments might be perceived as stationary ambush predators (Jakobsen, 2001; Titelman, 2001; Jakobsen et al., 2006; Gemmell et al., 2013; Kiørboe, 2013). Here, we investigate the influence of both viscosity and microbeads on the swimming behaviour of the mixotrophic marine dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa triquetra* (diameter 25 μm) at a range of manipulated viscosities corresponding to a 10°C temperature change. We manipulate viscosity using the polymer Ficoll, which has been shown to increase viscosity with no significant effect on fluid density or protist behaviour and can be modelled with Newtonian dynamics (Beveridge *et al.*, 2010). The use of Ficoll gives a uniform polymer mesh size and allows for shear tress versus shear rate curves to be described with Newtonian hydrodynamics (Martinez *et al.*, 2014). Although plankton are typically embedded in background shear flow in the environment, in the interest of distinguishing organism autonomous motility from advection here we only address swimming in still water. We predict that lower swimming speeds and lower turn rates should be associated with higher viscosity due to the increased energy costs associated with

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

swimming (Dusenbery, 2009). We use 3D video capture to determine the effect of changes in viscosity on sensory ability (estimated using nearest neighbour analysis) and other motility parameters, in both the presence and absence of large inert microbeads (63−75 μm in diameter). Specifically, we make predictions of biological sensitivity to flow perturbations from a model that has been shown to be reasonable in cases of copepods detecting microbeads (Visser, 2001). Although the fluid surrounding the protist behaves in a simple linear way versus viscosity, the mechanical behaviour of the cell membrane or sensory organs may not behave in a simple way as viscosity changes. For example, if viscosity increases and the cell moves at the same speed as before, the hydrodynamic forces on it increase proportionally, but properties of the cell such as mechanical stiffness might change in a non-linear way, resulting in non-linear changes in sensitivity when viscosity changes. In addition to nearest neighbour distance (nearest approach to objects), we quantify changes in other protist motility parameters (e.g. swimming speed, vertical migration rate, correlation time and length scales) with viscosity and the presence of microbeads.

METHOD

Culturing

Cultures of *H. triquetra* (Stein, 1883) were reared in sterile f/2 (Guillard, 1975) nutrient-enriched filtered sea water (FSW). The strain was derived from Narragansett Bay, RI, USA, and has the internal strain designation PA160310. Water for culturing and experimentation was collected from Narragansett Bay, filtered with a 0.2-μm column filter and autoclaved to remove particulate matter. Cultures were refreshed twice weekly with a fresh culture medium. Cell density was determined every 12 h using a Coulter Counter (Kim and Menden-Deuer, 2013), with only cultures in exponential growth phase used for experiments. Cultures for all experiments were maintained in a 12:12 h light/dark cycle at 15°C and a salinity of 31.6‰.

Experimental set-up

All experiments were undertaken in transparent, octagonal, acrylic tanks, 30 cm tall, 5 cm wide, each with an internal volume of 1 L. The stereoscopic filming apparatus was calibrated using submerged grid plates, following Harvey and Menden-Deuer (Harvey and Menden-Deuer, 2011, 2012). All video footage was recorded in the horizontal centre of the filming tank to minimize possible wall effects. Five equally spaced, vertical horizons were filmed for 3 min every hour, for a 6-h period, to provide thirty 3-min videos per replicate. Three replicates were performed for each treatment, resulting in a total of ninety 3-min videos per treatment. To ensure a sufficient number of organisms to allow robust statistical analysis of behaviours to be carried out, a density of ∼500 (range 492−523) cells mL^{-1} was used in all treatments.

Control replicates were performed using FSW at an ambient temperature of 24 ± 1.5°C and 0.99 centipoise (cP). We used the non-toxic non-ionic sucrose polymer Ficoll (Sigma-Aldrich) molecular weight 400 ± 100 k, to artificially manipulate the viscosity of FSW at 24 ± 1.5°C to reflect that of 20°C (1.04 cP) and 15°C (1.182 cP) seawater, an increase of 9.2% low to medium viscosity and 23.6% low to high viscosity independent of any temperature change. To ensure changes to viscosity were temperature independent, temperature in the room was maintained at 24 ± 1.5C. This resulted in three treatment viscosities that we define for brevity as low, medium and high (equivalent to seawater at 25, 20 and 15°C, respectively) both with (WS) and without (NS) mechanical stimuli, giving a total of six treatments. Viscosity was measured using an Ubbelohde-type viscometer (Cannon C457) with a 2.5% positive difference between the measured viscosity and the tabulated (Kennish, 1989), viscosity of seawater at each of our reference temperatures. Treatments were then repeated with the inclusion of chemically inert, neutrally buoyant plastic microbeads (Cospheric, Santa Barbra, CA, USA), 63−75 μm in diameter, at a concentration of ∼500 beads mL^{-1}, to assess behavioural changes in the presence of passive mechanical stimuli.

Video capture and analysis

Filming was carried out in a manner similar to Menden-Deuer and Grünbaum (Menden-Deuer and Grunbaum, 2006) and Harvey and Menden-Deuer (Harvey and Menden-Deuer, 2011, 2012), in a dark room to minimize light disturbance and possible light-mediated behaviours. Owing to logistic constraints, the experiments were commenced between 13:00 and 17:00 during the latter half of the light phase of the cultures. Illumination of the experimental tanks was provided by infrared LEDs (960 nm) mounted in a bank, giving dark field illumination of the protists within the tanks. Two HD cameras (Allied Vision Technologies, AVT 1394), attached to Nikon 60-mm Micro Nikkon lenses gave an overlapping field of view. The cameras were used to capture 2D video images (15 fps), which were later assembled to yield 3D movement paths and associated movement statistics using custom Python and MATLAB (Version: 7.14.0.739) scripts.

Protists and microbeads were identified, distinguished from each other and tracked, based on pixel intensity, with an algorithm similar to that used by Harvey and

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

Menden-Deuer (Harvey and Menden-Deuer, 2011, 2012). Identical parameters (e.g. tracking settings, background extraction method) were applied to all of the video footage. To ensure individual behaviour was representative of the population, only videos with more than 50 tracks were analysed. Swimming behaviours were calculated from cubic splines fitted to the 3D paths, subsampled at 0.07-s intervals to match the frame rate of the original recorded video. These behaviours included estimates of instantaneous swimming speed and vertical migration speed (μms^{-1}), turning rate (rad cm^{-1}) and nearest neighbour distances (μm) between protists as well as between protists and the mechanical stimulus, at each time point for the duration of each recorded trajectory. Nearest neighbour distance was determined by a K-nearest neighbour algorithm.

Following Schuech and Menden-Deuer (Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2014), horizontal and vertical swimming kinematics were analysed separately due to a clear vertical bias in movement. Correlation time (τ_{xy}) and length (λ_{xy}) scales for horizontal movement components were obtained using curve fits of our data to Taylor's equation (Taylor, 1921; Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2013). Effective swimming speed in the x–y plane (V_{xy}) was also taken from the fitting of Taylor's equation. Horizontal diffusivity was then calculated as $D_{xy} = V_{xy}^2\tau_{xy}/2$ and a large-scale diffusive migration range in the x–y plane over time interval t estimated as $H_{\mathrm{mr}} = \sqrt{4D_{xy}t}$. However, vertical correlation scales τ_z and λ_z could not be calculated from fitting Taylor's equation as movements in the z-direction remained ballistic for as long as the tracks were recorded. Therefore, we present minimum possible bounds on τ_z and λ_z, and average instantaneous vertical swimming velocity V_z directly calculated from the swimming trajectories. These average vertical speeds can provide an estimate of large-scale ballistic migration ranges over a time interval t: $V_{\mathrm{mr}} = V_z t$. Similar to previous studies (Heuschele and Kiørboe, 2012; Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2014), Taylor's model allowed us to simultaneously assess the effects of swimming speed and correlation length scale on large-scale effective diffusivity in each of our treatments.

Velocity field sensitivity

To estimate the hypothesized velocity field sensitivity to microbeads in each manipulated viscosity, we used an equation from Visser (Visser, 2001) (equation (31), p15) describing a situation where passive particles were approached by a self-propelled predator:

$$R^3(R-a) = 15a^2b^3\frac{U}{s} \tag{1}$$

where a is the predator (protist) radius, b is the radius of the stationary object the protist is swimming towards, U is the swimming speed of the protist, s ($\mu m/s$) is the threshold velocity perturbation required for detection by the protist and R is the distance at which detection occurs. We take R as the closest approach distance to a microbead over the duration of each track. By rearranging equation (1), we predicted an average threshold velocity perturbation by substituting our empirical values for average sizes, approach distances and speeds in the differing treatments:

$$S = \frac{15a^2b^3U}{R^3(R^2-2Ra+a^2)} \tag{2}$$

Statistical analysis

Comparisons of approach distances, components of swimming velocity in the horizontal plane and vertical direction (V_{xy}, V_z) and turning rates across all horizons, over all time points and between replicates were carried out using a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by a *post hoc* test (Kruskal–Wallis pairwise comparison of means). If no significant differences in behaviours were found between time points or horizons between replicates of the same treatment, the datasets for each treatment were combined and the same statistical analysis was rerun with the aggregated dataset to compare for differences between treatments. Non-parametric tests were used because of the non-normal distribution of the data. This approach allowed us to compare nearest neighbour distances and movement parameters between all treatments and tanks in relation to manipulated viscosity and the presence or absence of the mechanical stimuli.

For all treatments, the sample size was the number of protists with sufficiently long tracks (>5 s). All data processing was undertaken in MATLAB (v7.14), whereas statistical analyses were carried out in R (Version 2.15.1, 2012).

RESULTS

Significance of time and vertical horizon

Filming horizon did not significantly affect the recorded approach distances between individual protists either in the presence ($\chi^2_{4,5130} = 35.5$, $P > 0.05$) or absence ($\chi^2_{4,6845} = 72.33$, $P > 0.05$) of microbeads. Likewise, approach distances between protists and microbeads were not affected by horizon ($\chi^2_{4,3671} = 459.49$, $P > 0.05$). There were no significant differences in approach distances between protists in the presence ($\chi^2_{5,5130} = 9.6$, $P > 0.05$) or absence ($\chi^2_{5,6845} = 7.91$, $P > 0.05$) of microbeads across the duration of the experiments. There were

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

also no significant differences in minimum approach distances to microbeads ($\chi^2_{5,3671} = 7.05$, $P > 0.05$) over the duration of the experiments among replicates. Turning rate (T_r) varied significantly between treatments, with the number of radians per micron, τ (time between direction decorrelation) and λ (distance travelled between turning events) varying over time, in in relation to both changing viscosity and the presence (with stimulus, WS) or absence (no stimulus, NS) (Table I). Viscosity and the elapsed time during the experiments had a significant effect on velocity in the $x-y$ orientation (V_{xy}), again in both treatments (NS, WS). Example 3D swimming trajectories of *H. triquetra* in all treatments are shown in Fig. 1.

Swimming speed

x−y *Plane*

Swimming speed in the $x-y$ plane (V_{xy}) exhibited non-monotonic trends with viscosity, with a relative minimum at medium viscosity for NS treatments, but a relative maximum at the same viscosity for WS treatments. Overall, a decrease from low to high viscosity occurred in

Table I: Horizontal correlation time scale τ_{xy}, *correlation length scale* λ_{xy}, *effective swimming speed* V_{xy} *and effective diffusivity* D_{xy} *both without (NS) and with microbeads (WS)*

Viscosity treatment	τ_{xy} (s) ± SE	λ_{xy} (μm) ± SE	Swimming speed V_{xy} (μm s^{-1}) ± SE	D_{xy} (cm^2 s^{-1})
Swimming metrics for $x-y$ plane				
Low NS	3.7 ± 0.1	668.2 ± 15	176.1 ± 1.9	5.8×10^{-4}
Low WS	6.8 ± 0.0	538.1 ± 2.3	78.4 ± 0.2	2.1×10^{-4}
Medium NS	18.3 ± 0.3	763.3 ± 10	41.6 ± 0.2	1.5×10^{-4}
Medium WS	0.4 ± 0.16	104.0 ± 8.7	253.5 ± 21.0	1.3×10^{-4}
High NS	4.5 ± 0.1	396.8 ± 5.8	86.6 ± 5.8	1.7×10^{-4}
High WS	22.8 ± 0.1	573.7 ± 2	25.1 ± 0.0	7.2×10^{-5}

Calculations of τ and λ were obtained from non-linear fits of root mean square horizontal displacement $RMSD_{xy}$ to Taylor's equation (Taylor, 1921). $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment.



Fig. 1. 3D swimming trajectories of *H. triquetra* in experimental treatments: (**A**–**C**), low-, medium- and high-viscosity treatments without microbeads present; (**D**–**F**) low-, medium- and high-viscosity treatments with microbeads present.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

NS and WS treatments: V_{xy} decreased by 51% overall from 176 to 86 μm s^{-1} NS, and by 67% overall from 78 to 25 μm s^{-1} WS (Fig. 2, Table I).

The presence of microbeads resulted in lower V_{xy} at the low and high viscosities, but resulted in a peak V_{xy} at the medium viscosity (Fig. 2, Table I). The non-monotonic behaviour of V_{xy}, both in regard to viscosity and the presence or absence of microbeads, was much larger in relative magnitude than the non-monotonicity of nearest approach distance.

z-*Dimension*

In all cases, mean V_z was in excess of 300 μm s^{-1} (Fig. 3, Table II) and histograms of V_z in all treatments are presented in Fig. 4. V_z increased substantially at the highest viscosity tested, both in the absence and presence of mechanical stimuli, which appeared to have almost no effect on vertical swimming speed at any viscosity.



Fig. 2. Swimming velocity in x–y plane (μm s^{-1}) *Heterocapsa triquetra*; without (white bars, NS) and with microbeads present (grey bars, WS). Error bars represent the standard errors. Sample size $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. All treatments were significantly different from each other at the 95%.



Fig. 3. Vertical swimming velocity (V_z) (μm s^{-1}) *Heterocapsa triquetra*; without (white bars, NS) and with microbeads present (grey bars, WS). Error bars represent the standard errors. Sample size $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. Negative values indicate downward swimming. Upward and downward velocities were significantly different at the 95%; however, no significant difference was found between populations moving in the same orientation (e.g. high-viscosity treatment without microbeads compared against high-viscosity treatment with microbeads).

Nearest approach distances

Nearest approach distances between individual protists were negatively correlated with increasing viscosity ($\chi^2_{2,\,6845} = 647.32$, $P < 0.01$). Distances between individual protists in filtered seawater lacking microbeads decreased by 12% (40 μm) between the low- and medium-viscosity treatments, and by 75% (204 μm) between the medium- and high-viscosity treatments, yielding an overall reduction of 78% (244 μm) between the lowest and highest viscosity treatments (Table III). From trajectories, we determined the density distributions of approach distances in each treatment (Fig. 5).

The presence of mechanical stimuli/beads significantly reduced nearest approach distances between protists compared with the no-stimuli cases, for the low- and medium-viscosity treatments ($\chi^2_{2,5130} = 724.45$, $P < 0.05$) (Table III). Nearest approach distances decreased by 261 μm (from 309 to 48 μm, a reduction of 84%) and 234 μm (from 269 to 35 μm, a reduction of 86%) for the low- and medium-viscosity treatments, respectively. However, in the high-viscosity treatment, distances between protists increased by 24 μm (from 65 to 89 μm, an increase of 36%).

Nearest approach distances between individual protists and microbeads decreased slightly (5%) from low to medium viscosity (122–116 μm), but increased by 52% overall (122–186 μm, an increase of ~4 body lengths) between the lowest and highest viscosity treatments (Table III).

Table II: Vertical movement parameters: minimum correlation time τ_z and length scale λ_z, average instantaneous vertical velocity V_z, fraction of upward swimming cells and predicted vertical migration range (V_{mr}) over a 12-h period

Viscosity treatment	Minimum τ_z (s)	Minimum λ_z (μm)	V_z (μm/s) ± SE	Upward moving fraction (%)
Swimming metrics for z-dimension				
Low NS	120	1000	329.3 ± 82	33
Low WS	100	3000	319.4 ± 43	29
Medium NS	120	2600	324.8 ± 31	20
Medium WS	40	2000	317.6 ± 47	36
High NS	100	1200	394.2 ± 36	78
High WS	40	1000	395.6 ± 35	83

$N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016



Fig. 4. Frequency histogram of V_z for *H. triquetra* in all treatments: (**A**–**C**) low, medium and high viscosity with no mechanical stimuli present; (**D**–**F**) low, medium and high viscosity with mechanical stimuli present. Sample size $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. Negative values indicate downward swimming.

Table III Nearest approach distance between conspecifics (μm), both without (NS) and with microbeads present (WS), and nearest approach distance from protists to microbeads

Treatment	Approach distance (μm) ± 95% confidence bounds	
	Conspecifics	Microbeads
Empirically measured approach distances		
Low NS	309 (271, 350)	N/A
Low WS	48 (35, 63)	122 (121, 122)
Medium NS	269 (238, 300)	N/A
Medium WS	35 (22, 50)	116 (110, 121)
High NS	65 (52, 79)	N/A
High WS	89 (83, 96)	186 (179, 192)

$N = 1800–2200$ tracks depending on treatment.

For all viscosity treatments, distances to microbeads were consistently greater than those to other protists by at least a factor of 2. In all cases, nearest approach distances were much less than would be predicted if protists and microbeads were uniformly arranged on a rectangular lattice throughout the tank volume; in that instance, the distance between particles for NS treatments would be 1241, and 973 μm for WS treatments.

Velocity field sensitivity

Sensitivity values for velocity flow field perturbations, estimated from nearest approach distances according to equation (2), again behaved non-monotonically with increasing viscosity, initially increasing by more than a factor of 3 but with an overall decrease of 97% (Table IV).

Turning rate

Turning rate (T_r) was significantly different between viscosity treatments. In the absence of beads (NS), it showed a strong maximum at intermediate viscosity whereas, in the presence of beads (WS), T_r was much higher at medium and high viscosity than in low viscosity (Fig. 6). The presence of microbeads significantly increased T_r at medium and high viscosities, but did not have a significant effect at low viscosity (Fig. 6). In the absence of microbeads, λ_{xy} decreased by 40% overall from low to high viscosity (668–396), whereas T_r increased by 389% (0.037–0.181 radians per μm), so these trends were consistent, with both indicating more convoluted paths at higher viscosity. However, in the presence of microbeads, T_r still increased with viscosity by an order of magnitude

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016



Fig. 5. Density distribution plots of approach distances in all treatments: (**A**) approach distances between protists with no microbeads in the water column; (**B**) approach distances between protists with microbeads in the water column; (**C**) approach distance between protists and microbeads.

Table IV: Sensitivity to relative velocity field differences (μm/s) predicted by equation (2)

Viscosity treatment	Sensitivity to velocity field perturbation (μm s^{-1})	Sensitivity as % of average swimming speed
Calculated velocity field sensitivity		
Low NS	0.90	0.51
Medium NS	3.82	1.50
High NS	0.03	0.12

(0.035–0.39 radians per μm), whereas λ_{xy} displayed non-monotonic behaviour with a minimum at medium viscosity.

Correlation scales

Correlation time (τ_{xy}) and length (λ_{xy}) scales in the horizontal plane displayed non-monotonic trends with viscosity. For NS treatments, τ_{xy} increased by 21% (3.79–4.58), whereas λ_{xy} decreased by 41% overall (668–396) (Table I). This was concomitant with an overall 51% decrease in horizontal swimming speed V_{xy} (176–86 μm/s), indicating that the cells swam both slower and in a more convoluted pattern at the highest viscosity compared with the lowest. However, in the presence of mechanical stimuli, both τ_{xy} and λ_{xy} were positively correlated with viscosity, with τ_{xy} increasing by 232% (6.86–22.83), and λ_{xy} increasing by 6% (538–573), whereas V_{xy} again decreased [by 68% (78.4–25.12)] overall from the low- to high-viscosity treatments. Therefore, at high viscosity and in the presence of microbeads, cells swam slower, but along paths of approximately the same tortuosity compared with the lowest viscosity treatment.



Fig. 6. Turning rate (radians per micron) both without (NS, white bars) and with microbeads present (WS, grey bars). Error bars represent standard error. $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. Asterisk denotes significant differences at the 95% level for comparisons between treatments of manipulated viscosity but same stimuli level (e.g. NS), Dagger denotes significant differences at the 95% level for comparisons between treatments of same viscosity but differing stimuli levels.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

Movement in the vertical (z) direction was ballistic for as long as our observations lasted in all treatments, so only minimum bounds on τ_z and λ_z are reported (Table II). Interestingly, there were substantial fractions of upward and downward swimming individuals at the same locations and times during each experiment, as seen in Schuech and Menden-Deuer (Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2014). However, vertical swimming direction of the population did exhibit a large change from mostly downward to mostly upward, between low- and high- viscosity conditions (Table II).

Extrapolated large-scale behaviour

Diffusivity in the horizontal plane (D_{xy}) was overall negatively correlated with viscosity (Table I), decreasing by 71% in NS treatments (from 5.88×10^{-4} to 1.7×10^{-4} cm^2 s^{-1}), and by 66% (from 2.1×10^{-4} to 7.2×10^{-5} cm^2 s^{-1}) in the presence of microbeads (Table I). In the absence of microbeads, the decrease in D_{xy} was due to both increased track tortuosity (indicated by lower λ_{xy}) as well as lower swimming speeds (V_{xy}). However, in the presence of microbeads, decreased diffusivity at high viscosity was almost entirely due to 3-fold reduced horizontal swimming speeds.

While horizontal displacement (H_{mr}) via diffusive movement would only be expected to cover several cm over 12 h (Table V), ballistic vertical migration range (V_{mr}) of *H. triquetra* over the same time period is predicted to range from 14 to 17 m depending on viscosity and the presence or absence of microbeads (Table V). Increased viscosity had a much stronger influence on "direction" of vertical migration, with 77% of cells swimming downwards at low viscosity, but 78% of cells swimming upwards at high viscosity in the absence of microbeads, with similar changes in frequency of upward/downward swimming cells in the presence of microbeads. At the end of all experiments, large numbers of cells were observed to be aggregating at the surface. Owing to the limited extent of our observation volume, it is unknown whether all the cells in the tank displayed the same qualitative behaviour at a given time, or if, e.g. there was a circulation of cells swimming up in the centre and down near the walls.

Table V: Diffusive horizontal migration ranges (H_{mr}) over a 12-h period, both without (NS) and with microbeads (WS), extrapolated from D_{xy}

Viscosity treatment	V_{mr} (m)	H_{mr} (m) over 12-h period
Calculated horizontal migration range over 12-h period		
Low NS	14.2	0.10
Low WS	13.8	0.06
Medium NS	13.9	0.05
Medium WS	13.7	0.04
High NS	17.0	0.05
High WS	17.0	0.03

DISCUSSION

Swimming speed

x−y *Plane*

Swimming speed in the horizontal plane (V_{xy}) displayed non-monotonic behaviour with viscosity, with a relative minimum at medium viscosity with NS and a relative maximum with stimuli. V_{xy} were less than the observed average swimming speeds (xy) of 370 μm/s, and below the maximum 496 μm/s reported for *H. triquetra* by Jeong *et al.* (Jeong *et al.*, 2002). Higher resolution microscopic observations are required to determine whether this trend is robust and due to varying flagellar beat frequency, beat pattern or both. For instance, flagellar movements of the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* are influenced by changes in viscosity, but while beat frequency is positively correlated with increasing viscosity, net swimming speeds are negatively correlated (Johnson *et al.*, 1998). Flagellar movement of *C. reinhardtii* close to the cell body was severely restricted when swimming in viscoelastic fluids, with movements displaying "spatio-temporal" changes over a range of viscosities (Johnson *et al.*, 1998). In the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*, beat frequency of the transverse and longitudinal flagella decreased with increasing viscosity with a subsequent reduction in swimming speeds (Sohn *et al.*, 2013). Hence, both beat frequency and beat pattern can simultaneously change with viscosity in a range of organisms from sperm (Brokaw, 1975; Rikmenspoel, 1984; Smith *et al.*, 2009) to bacteria (Atsumi *et al.*, 1996) and dinoflagellates (Sohn *et al.*, 2013) with the overall effect on swimming speed impossible to predict without detailed observations of the flagella [for more information, see review by Brennen and Winet (Brennen and Winet, 1977) and Qin *et al.* (Qin *et al.*, 2015)].

As with the non-monotonic trend of V_{xy} with viscosity in the NS treatments, the addition of microbeads decreased V_{xy} at low and high viscosity but increased V_{xy} at medium viscosity. Cell concentration during filming was 500 cells mL^{-1}, and the introduction of microbeads increased total particle density in the filming tank to 1000 particles mL^{-1}. It is logical to expect that swimming speeds would decrease with more particles in the water, as suggested by Zaret (Zaret, 1980) or with increases in the energy required to swim at higher viscosites (Schneider and Doetsch, 1974; Sleigh, 1991; Humphries, 2013), and this is indeed what we found at low and high viscosity. However, the opposite effect of

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

microbeads at medium viscosity may be consistent with observations of copepods (Zaret, 1980), where swimming speeds first increased and then decreased with increasing population density. The observed density-induced swimming speed increases in *H. triquetra* might reduce competition for light or nutrients by allowing individuals to disperse and avoid localized competition, whereas the reduction in swimming speeds at higher densities could suggest behaviours to avoid collisions at higher population densities that could potentially cause cells to stick together or entangle their flagella.

z-Dimension

Vertical swimming velocity (V_z) was positively correlated with increasing viscosity. Maximum recorded V_z was 30% higher than the maximum 2D swimming speed of 273 $\mu m\ s^{-1}$ reported by Jakobsen (Jakobsen, 2005), but within 5% of the 370 ($\pm$ 14) $\mu m\ s^{-1}$ reported by Jeong *et al.* (Jeong *et al.*, 2002). The increase in V_z with viscosity led to an increase in calculated vertical migration range (V_{mr}) to a maximum of 17 m in the high-viscosity treatments.

It is possible that the positive correlation between V_z and viscosity is consistent with the hypothesis put forward by Machemer (Machemer, 1996) that microorganisms can modulate swimming speed as a function of spatial orientation. It is also possible that, during cold periods (in temperate regions during winter with shorter light periods), adaptation to the increased viscosity of seawater might induce higher vertical swimming speeds and upward migration, thus increasing time spent in the surface layers for photosynthesis. But, it should be noted that movement would be moderated by physiological changes within the cell because of changes in environmental temperatures (Podolsky and Emlet, 1993). Finally, increases in viscosity may be indicative of high rates of photosynthesis and exudate production and might signal increased nutrient competition and thus induce cells to increase their vertical migration to access depths with lower levels of competition from photoauthotrophs. A competition-induced, pH-mediated alteration of phytoplankton vertical migration has been suggested previously for the raphidophyte *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Kim *et al.*, 2013).

Approach distances

Our observations suggest a complex relationship between viscosity and putative sensory responses, with increasing viscosity reducing the approach distances between protists but increasing approach distance between them and microbeads. In addition, approach distances between protists and microbeads were always larger (2-fold) than approach distances among protists regardless of viscosity, suggesting some method of differentiation between the hydromechanical signals produced by conspecifics and the signals produced by a physical object (microbeads). This is likely due, at least in part, to the active swimming of the protists, which would act to decrease the closest approach distance achieved over a given time period relative to a stationary arrangement. Although trends in nearest approach distance versus viscosity with microbeads present were non-monotonic, we suspect that the relatively small changes in approach distances (approximately one-fourth of a body length) between low and medium viscosity are likely to be biologically insignificant. This approach distance of 6 μm is substantially less than the 1000 μm over which copepods have been observed to attack stationary beads (Bundy *et al.*, 1998; Bundy and Vanderploeg, 2002). Overall, this result suggests that this dinoflagellate species is able to sense particles at several body lengths away and distinguish among types of particles as well. This sensory capacity allows *H. triquetra*, and likely other species, to navigate its environment with information about surrounding abiotic and biotic particles.

The ability to recognize differences in the signal profile between conspecifics and predators over a range of viscosities may be important over seasonal changes. While vertically migrating phytoplankton can experience temperature changes of only a few degrees Celsius (resulting in relatively small changes in viscosity) over vertical migration ranges (V_{mr}) in coastal waters during warm periods, during colder seasons the change in viscosity with depth might be more pronounced. Thus, an ability to determine the hydromechanical signals of approaching predators over a range of viscosities would be advantageous. In addition, planktonic communities have been shown to increase the viscosity of surrounding seawater by up to 85% in sub-surface waters through the excretion of viscous photosynthate (Seuront *et al.*, 2010) which may help maintain blooms by reducing turbulence through exopolymer excretion (Seuront *et al.*, 2007; Seuront and Vincent, 2008; Prairie *et al.*, 2012), much higher than the 19% increase in viscosity used in this study. Thus, the development or dispersal of a phytoplankton bloom may well result in large viscosity changes that a cells' sensory systems must cope with.

Velocity field sensitivity

The calculated velocity threshold sensitivities based on nearest approach distances to microbeads suggest that *H. triquetra* can detect differences in flow fields of <2% of their own swimming speed. Some unicellular organisms (specifically diatoms) are able to sense changes in osmotic conditions as well as those of nutrients (Fe) and fluid motion (Falciatore *et al.*, 2000), as witnessed by changes in

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

the concentration changes in their second messenger, cytosolic Ca^{++}. We note that, in unicellular organisms such as ciliates and dinoflagellates, a response occurs above a critical fluid deformation rate (Jakobsen, 2001; Maldonado and Latz, 2007), equivalent to a threshold velocity difference across the cell. However, sensitivities measured in our study seem quite high for a mixotrophic organism with no obvious mechanosensory structures analogous to copepod antenna, but we do note that some cruising copepods appear to have sensitivity values ~1% of their swimming speeds (Visser, 2001). It is possible that *H. triquetra* can sense and respond to shear stress through deformation of the cell wall in a similar manner as suggested for the dinoflagellate *Pyrocystis lunula* (Tesson and Latz, 2015). This species has been shown to respond to high levels of shear stress (e.g. the interactions between boundary layers of swimming animals) causing deformation of the cell body as well as through direct mechanical interactions (applied force), again causing deformation of the cell body (Tesson and Latz, 2015).

Our results further indicate that average velocity sensitivity decreases (i.e. detection precision increases) over the manipulated viscosity range from low to high. Enhanced remote detection of microbeads might allow plankton that increase local viscosity during blooms (Jenkinson and Biddanda, 1995; Seuront *et al.*, 2007; Prairie *et al.*, 2012) to detect ambush predators over a larger distance, thereby reducing individual predation levels and maintaining the bloom for a longer time. *H. triquetra* is known to form dense blooms (Jeong *et al.*, 2005) with cultures able to grow in a range of pH conditions with cell densities up to 7×10^4 cells mL^{-1} (Havskum and Hansen, 2006). Other bloom forming protists that secrete mucus do change viscosity (Jenkinson, 1986; Jenkinson and Sun, 2010), including within blooms (Jenkinson and Biddanda, 1995; Seuront *et al.*, 2007; Seuront and Vincent, 2008). The ability to increase localized viscosity that reduces turbulence and helps maintain the bloom for a longer duration might also allow for detection of predators over a larger distance and increase the survival rates of individual protists and duration of blooms overall. Any *H. triquetra* and other flagellates present are likely to be influenced by and respond to such viscosity changes.

Turning rate

Trends in turning rate (T_r) can naturally be compared with the trends in horizontal correlation length scale λ_{xy} since both parameters are measures of path tortuosity. One would intuitively expect an inverse relationship between the two since a large λ_{xy} is indicative of a straight path with low turning rate.

We found a non-monotonic trend in turning rate (T_r) with an overall increase in T_r in relation to increased viscosity; however, maximum T_r was recorded in the medium-viscosity treatment with no mechanical stimuli present. We also found a non-monotonic effect of microbeads (an increase in turning rate in the presence of microbeads at medium and high viscosity but no effect at low viscosity). T_r in our treatments increased by 4.8-fold between lowest and highest viscosity in treatments with NS (0.037−0.181 turns per micron), whereas in treatments WS present, T_r increased by 10.2-fold (0.035−0.359 turns per micron). The increase in T_r between the lowest and highest viscosity NS treatments is consistent with the reduction in λ_{xy}, with both describing an increase in path tortuosity at high viscosity. In the treatments with no mechanical stimulus, the relative maximum in T_r at medium viscosity is inconsistent with the relative in maximum in λ_{xy} at medium viscosity. In addition, the trend in T_r with stimuli is inconsistent with the non-monotonic trend in λ_{xy} with viscosity in treatments with stimuli. One possible explanation for these apparent inconsistencies is the fact that T_r is a 3D parameter, whereas λ_{xy} describes only horizontal components of movement. Perhaps more importantly, T_r is affected by any turns along the path regardless of spatial scale, whereas λ_{xy}, by definition, should not be affected by very small-scale turns, such as those making up the helical paths that many microorganisms display. For example, it is possible that, in the absence of microbeads, cells travelled along the straightest paths in a large-scale sense at medium viscosity even though the most tightly coiled helical paths also occurred at the highest viscosity.

The rotation rates of swimming Paramecium (*Paramecium caudatum*) decrease with both reduced swimming speeds and increased viscosity (Jung *et al.*, 2014) and the straightening of trajectories seen in our experiments (changes in τ_{xy}, λ_{xy} and radians per μm) were also coupled with reduced swimming speeds, diffusion and increased viscosity. It is likely that the reduction in swimming speeds associated with increased viscosity similar to that observed by Qin *et al.* (Qin *et al.*, 2015) affected the number of turns and helical turn magnitude in our study. We note that paths such as helices can be ballistic and convoluted (Bianco *et al.*, 2014); however, we use the term ballistic here as described in the context of Taylor's equation (Taylor, 1921), which we apply to movement at a scale much larger than the fine details of the path.

Correlation scales and dispersal rates

Our reported values for τ_{xy}, λ_{xy} (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8) and D_{xy} are within a factor of 10 of those previously published for a different strain of the same species *H. triquetra* CCMP

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016



Fig. 7. Distance between turning events (λ) x–y plane in μm both without (NS, white bars) and with microbeads present (WS, grey bars). Error bars represent one standard error of the mean. $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. All treatments were significantly different at the 95% level for comparison.



Fig. 8. Average time (s) between turning events in x–y plane both without (NS, white bars) and with microbeads present (WS, grey bars). Error bars represent standard error. $N = 1800–2200$ depending on treatment. All treatments were significantly different from each other at the 95% level for comparison.

448 (Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2014). Intra-specific variability in movement parameters among clones of the same species has previously been observed and thus is to be expected (Menden-Deuer and Montalbano, 2015). As with swimming speeds, we found a non-monotonic trend between each of these parameters and viscosity (Table I), with the exception of D_{xy} in WS treatments. In NS treatments, both τ_{xy} and λ_{xy} were largest at medium viscosity, leading to D_{xy} being largest there as well, despite V_{xy} being smallest at medium viscosity. This pattern indicates a qualitative change in flagellar movements (Qin *et al.*, 2015) as swimming speeds decreased, but cells swam along straighter paths. If the effect of increased viscosity were to simply slow down all movements, no changes in λ_{xy} would be expected and similar trajectories would be observed for all treatments. However, the largest λ_{xy} found at medium viscosity suggests a flagellar beating pattern that may have been more regular than at low or high viscosity, resulting in fewer random turns. Thus, our data again suggest that cells actively modulate flagellar beating patterns in response to viscosity, rather than a passive effect of viscosity on movement pattern.

Movement is inherently risky as it increases the probability of encounters with predators. However, it can also increase the probability of encounters with potential mates and resources (Kiørboe, 2013). It has even been suggested that the use of ballistic movement and adjustments to the timing of relocations may be common mechanisms to efficient random search strategies (Kiørboe and Visser, 1999). Many plankters are simultaneously both predator and prey and consequently the motility behaviours of these organisms might have evolved to maximize encounters with prey and potential mates, while minimizing encounters with predators (Visser, 2007; Kiørboe, 2013). This might be accomplished by maintaining a correlation length scale λ_{xy} larger than the detection distance for resources, but smaller than the encounter radius of a typical predator, so that encounters with resources are in the efficient ballistic regime but encounters with predators are in the inefficient diffusive regime (Visser, 2007). The protists studied here have high λ_{xy} of approximately 100–760 μm which is quite risky and essentially identical to values reported for copepods of typically between 100 and 500 μm (Visser, 2001). Hence, the species studied does not appear to be following a simple, hypothetically optimal movement strategy of modulating motility to minimize predator and maximize resource encounters. At the observed lambda and sub *xy*, encounters with zooplankton grazers are likely to be in the transitional region between fully ballistic and diffusive encounter regimes. However, predicted encounter rates with copepods would still be lower than if the protists had λ_{xy} much larger than that of copepods.

The presence of microbeads influenced correlation length and time scales λ_{xy} and τ_{xy} in a complex fashion, leading to both increases and decreases, depending on viscosity. However, the addition of microbeads always leads to a decrease in D_{xy}, indicating a robust decrease in overall horizontal dispersal rate. Since the presence of microbeads had little effect on vertical swimming patterns, we suggest that *H. triquetra* might decrease horizontal diffusivity to avoid lethal encounters but maintain the vertically ballistic behaviour necessary to traverse critical gradients in light and nutrients, despite the higher encounter rates associated with ballistic movement. This kind of strategy seems likely, given the prevalence of such behaviour among microplankton (Schuech and Menden-Deuer, 2012).

While the horizontal component of swimming was always diffusive (with a minimum at medium viscosity), movement in the z-direction was always ballistic regardless

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

of viscosity. Using our measured vertical speeds, the V_{mr} range of *H. triquetra* over a 12-h period would be ~14 m in the lower viscosity treatments (0.99–1.04 cP), increasing to 17 m in the highest viscosity treatment (1.18 cP). These predicted vertical distances are 140–480 times larger than diffusive horizontal dispersal (6.02–10.8 cm) over 12 h. The large difference in ranges covered between the *xy* plane and *z*-direction is likely to be adaptive since the marine environment is often vertically stratified and horizontal movements are not likely to offer significant improvements in resource availability, whereas vertical movements result in dramatic changes in light exposure and increase the chances of encountering a potentially nutrient-rich environment (reviewed in Stocker and Durham, 2009) although this motility pattern could also increase encounters with predators compared with isotropic diffusive movement (Seuront and Strutton, 2004).

CONCLUSION

Owing to the drag forces on beating appendages such as cilia and flagella, microorganism motility and performance are directly linked to the viscosity of the surrounding fluid (Humphries, 2013). Manipulation of viscosity without alteration of seawater density allowed us to disentangle the role of viscosity and temperature on protist swimming behaviours. Our data indicate that viscosity has a significant and often complex effect on the behaviour of the photosynthetic dinoflagellate *H. triquetra*. The non-monotonic responses observed are likely due to organism-mediated alteration of flagellar beat frequency and patterns that overcome constraints by changes in viscosity. Our results clearly show that this dinoflagellate species has the sensory capacity for sophisticated distinction among different particle types in the surrounding fluid, which suggests that organisms can not only respond to external resource or internal cellular conditions (Grünbaum, 2001), but also modulate their movements in response to the presence and types of particles.

Overall, both swimming speeds and diffusive dispersal ranges in the horizontal plane were negatively correlated with viscosity. While movements in the vertical direction were always ballistic for the duration of the experiments, high viscosity was also associated with a switch from downward to upward migration. Hence, at low viscosity (e.g. higher temperature, low phytoplankton exudate concentration), cells would tend to migrate downward and diffuse more horizontally, whereas at high viscosity (e.g. lower temperature, deeper water or alternately, bloom conditions), cells of this species would tend to migrate upward and cover less horizontal area. The increase in upward movement during bloom conditions might increase the amount of light available to *H. triquetra* and as such increase photosynthetic output. Future research should attempt to determine whether viscosity might act as a proxy for sensing depth or population density of conspecifics, and more generally, further investigate the influence of viscosity on encounter rates between predators and prey in marine environments (Beveridge *et al.*, 2010).

While the presence of microbeads had a strong and treatment-specific effect on horizontal swimming behaviour, protistan movements in the vertical direction were relatively unaffected by the presence of microbeads. This result suggests that vertical migration may be robustly maintained, even at the cost of an elevated predation risk due to ballistic movement. However, this risk may be partially mitigated by the lower horizontal diffusivities that occurred in the presence of microbeads at all viscosities. The effects of elastic liquids on flagella movement (Qin *et al.*, 2015) and the role this has on mediating behaviour and interactions in moving microorganisms are open for further investigation. If beat frequency changes, one would expect changes in any swimming parameters that depend on time, but not entirely spatial parameters such as λ_{xy}. However, if the beating pattern qualitatively changes (e.g. changing amplitude), all swimming parameters could theoretically be affected. Since both beat frequency and beat pattern could change simultaneously at different viscosities, future work must include high-resolution observations of flagellar movement if these two aspects of swimming kinematics are to be disentangled.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Amanda Montalbano for help with the culturing of the organisms. Comments from anonymous reviewers improved an earlier version of this manuscript.

FUNDING

This research is based, in part, upon work conducted using the Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center and the Marine Science Research Facility, which is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under EPSCoR Grants Nos. (0554548) and EPS (1004057). Funding was provided by National Science Foundation (Biological-Oceanography Award 0826205 to S.M.-D.).

REFERENCES

Atsumi, T., Maekawa, Y., Yamada, T., Kawagaishi, I., Imae, Y. and Homma, M. (1996) Effect of viscosity on swimming by the lateral and polar flagella of *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *J. Bacteriol.*, **178**, 5024–5026.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

Beveridge, O. S., Petchey, O. L. and Humphries, S. (2010) Direct and indirect effects of temperature on the population dynamics and ecosystem functioning of aquatic microbial ecosystems. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, **79**, 1324–1331.

Bianco, G., Mariani, P., Visser, A. W., Mazzocchi, M. G. and Pigolotti, S. (2014) Analysis of self-overlap reveals trade-offs in plankton swimming trajectories. *J. R. Soc. Interface*, **11**, 20140164. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24789560 (15 May 2015, date last accessed).

Brennen, C. and Winet, H. (1977) Fluid mechanics of propulsion by Cilia and Flagella. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **9**, 339–398.

Brokaw, C. (1975) Effects of viscosity and ATP concentration on the movement of reactivated sea-urchin sperm flagella. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **62**, 701–719. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/62/3/701.1.short (10 December 2014, date last accessed).

Bundy, M. H., Gross, T. F., Vanderploeg, H. A. and Strickler, J. R. (1998) Perception of inert particles by calanoid copepods: behavioral observations and a numerical model. *J. Plankton Res.*, **20**, 2129–2152.

Bundy, M. H. and Vanderploeg, H. A. (2002) Detection and capture of inert particles by calanoid copepods: the role of the feeding current. *J. Plankton Res.*, **24**, 215–223.

Chen, X. and Berg, H. C. (2000) Torque-speed relationship of the flagellar rotary motor of *Escherichia coli*. *Biophys. J.*, **78**, 1036–1041.

Codling, E. A., Bearon, R. N. and Thorn, G. J. (2010) Diffusion about the mean drift location in a biased random walk. *Ecology*, **91**, 3106–3113. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21058570 (10 June 2014, date last accessed).

Codling, E. A., Plank, M. J. and Benhamou, S. (2008) Random walk models in biology. *J. R. Soc. Interface*, **5**, 813–834. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2504494&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract (10 July 2014, date last accessed).

Dusenbery, D. B. (2009) *Living at the Microscale*, 1st edn. First Harvard University Press paperback edition, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, England.

Falciatore, A., d'Alcalà, R. M., Croot, P. and Bowler, C. (2000) Perception of environmental signals by a marine diatom. *Science*, **288**, 2363–2366.

Gemmell, B. J., Sheng, J. and Buskey, E. J. (2013) Compensatory escape mechanism at low Reynolds number. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **110**, 4661–4666. http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1212148110 (7 March 2013, date last accessed).

Guillard, R. R. L. (1975) Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates. In Smith, W. L. and Chanley, M. H. (eds), *Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 26–60.

Harvey, E. L. and Menden-Deuer, S. (2011) Avoidance, movement, and mortality: the interactions between a protistan grazer and *Heterosigma akashiwo*, a harmful algal bloom species. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **56**, 371–378. http://www.aslo.org/lo/toc/vol_56/issue_1/0371.html (14 July 2014, date last accessed).

Harvey, E. L. and Menden-Deuer, S. (2012) Predator-induced fleeing behaviors in phytoplankton: a new mechanism for harmful algal bloom formation? *PLoS One*, **7**, e46438. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3460921&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract (14 July 2014, date last accessed).

Havskum, H. and Hansen, P. J. (2006) Net growth of the bloom-forming dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa triquetra* and pH: why turbulence matters. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **42**, 55–62.

Heuschele, J. and Kiørboe, T. (2012) The smell of virgins: mating status of females affects male swimming behaviour in *Oithona davisae*. *J. Plankton Res.*, **34**, 929–935. http://www.plankt.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/plankt/fbs054 (5 October 2012, date last accessed).

Humphries, S. (2013) A physical explanation of the temperature dependence of physiological processes mediated by cilia and flagella. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **110**, 14693–8. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3767513&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract (19 May 2015, date last accessed).

Jakobsen, H. H. (2001) Escape response of planktonic protists to fluid mechanical signals. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **214**, 67–78. http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v214/p67-78/ (1 August 2014, date last accessed).

Jakobsen, H. H. (2005) Effects of prey motility and concentration on feeding in *Acartia tonsa* and *Temora longicornis*: the importance of feeding modes. *J. Plankton Res.*, **27**, 775–785. http://www.plankt.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/plankt/fbi051 (21 January 2014, date last accessed).

Jakobsen, H. H., Everett, L. and Strom, S. (2006) Hydromechanical signaling between the ciliate *Mesodinium pulex* and motile protist prey. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **44**, 197–206. http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/ame/v44/n2/p197-206/ (16 June 2014, date last accessed).

Jenkinson, I. R. (1986) Oceanographic implications of non-newtonian properties found in phytoplankton cultures. *Nature*, **323**, 435–437.

Jenkinson, I. R. and Biddanda, B. A. (1995) Bulk-phase viscoelastic properties of seawater relationship with plankton components. *J. Plankton Res.*, **17**, 2251–2274.

Jenkinson, I. R. and Sun, J. (2010) Rheological properties of natural waters with regard to plankton thin layers. A short review. *J. Mar. Syst.*, **83**, 287–297.

Jeong, H., Yoon, J. Y., Kim, J. S., Yoo, Y. D. and Seong, K. A. (2002) Growth and grazing rates of the prostomatid ciliate Tiarina fusus on red-tide and toxic algae. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **28**, 289–297. http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/ame/v28/n3/p289-297/ (18 February 2014, date last accessed).

Jeong, H. J., Yoo, Y. D., Park, J. Y., Song, J. Y., Kim, S. T., Lee, S. H., Kim, K. Y. and Yih, W. H. (2005) Feeding by phototrophic red-tide dinoflagellates: five species newly revealed and six species previously known to be mixotrophic. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **40**, 133–150.

Jiang, H. and Osborn, T. (2003) Hydrodynamics of copepods: a review. *Surv. Geophys.*, **25**, 339–370.

Jiang, H. and Paffenhöfer, G. (2008) Hydrodynamic signal perception by the copepod *Oithona plumifera*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **373**, 37–52. http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v373/p37-52/ (21 January 2014, date last accessed).

Johnson, T., Cullum, A. and Bennett, A. (1998) Partitioning the effects of temperature and kinematic viscosity on the C-start performance of adult fishes. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **201**(Pt 13), 2045–2051. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9622576 (6 February 2015, last accessed).

Jung, I., Powers, T. R. and Valles, J. M. (2014) Evidence for two extremes of ciliary motor response in a single swimming microorganism. *Biophys. J.*, **106**, 106–113.

Kennish, M. J. (1989) Kennish, M. J. (eds), *Practical Handbook of Marine Science*, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Kim, H. and Menden-Deuer, S. (2013) Estimating the effects of ocean acidification on primary production of Heterosigma akashiwo. In 15th ICHA Proceedings. pp. 1–5.

Kim, H., Spivack, A. J. and Menden-Deuer, S. (2013) pH alters the swimming behaviors of the raphidophyte *Heterosigma akashiwo*: implications for bloom formation in an acidified ocean. *Harmful Algae*, **26**, 1–11.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1568988313000462 (21 January 2014, date last accessed).

Kiørboe, T. (2013) Attack or attacked: the sensory and fluid mechanical constraints of copepods' predator-prey interactions. *Integr. Comp. Biol.*, **53**, 821–831. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23613321 (14 July 2014, date last accessed).

Kiørboe, T. and Visser, A. W. (1999) Predator and prey perception in copepods due to hydromechanical signals. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **179**, 81–95.

Machemer, H. (1996) A theory of gravikinesis in Paramecium. *Adv. Space Res.*, **17**, 11–20. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11538602 (23 August 2014, date last accessed).

Maldonado, E. M. and Latz, M. I. (2007) Shear-stress dependence of dinoflagellate bioluminescence. *Biol. Bull.*, **212**, 242–249.

Martinez, V. A., Schwarz-Linek, J., Reufer, M., Wilson, L. G., Morozov, A. N. and Poon, W. C. K. (2014) Flagellated bacterial motility in polymer solutions. *PNAS*, **111**, 17771–17776.

Menden-Deuer, S. and Grunbaum, D. (2006) Individual foraging behaviors and population distributions of a planktonic predator aggregating to phytoplankton thin layers. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **51**, 109–116.

Menden-Deuer, S. and Montalbano, A. L. (2015) Bloom formation potential in the harmful dinoflagellate *Akashiwo sanguinea*: clues from movement behaviors and growth characteristics. *Harmful Algae*, **47**, 75–85. http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1568988315000931 (13 November 2015, date last accessed).

Podolsky, R. D. (1994) Temperature and water viscosity: physiological versus mechanical effects on suspension feeding. *Science*, **265**, 100–102.

Podolsky, R. D. and Emlet, R. (1993) Separating the effects of temperature and viscosity on swimming and water movement by sand dollar larvae (*Dendraster excentricus*). *J. Exp. Biol.*, **221**, 207–221. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/176/1/207.short (10 December 2014, date last accessed).

Prairie, J., Sutherland, K. R., Nickols, K. J. and Kaltenberg, A. M. (2012) Biophysical interactions in the plankton: a cross-scale review. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **2**, 21–145. http://lofe.dukejournals.org/content/2/121.full (12 September 2014, date last accessed).

Qin, B., Gopinath, A., Yang, J., Gollub, J. P. and Arratia, P. E. (2015) Flagellar kinematics and swimming of algal cells in viscoelastic fluids. *Sci. Rep.*, **5**, 9190. http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/srep09190 (22 April 2015, date last accessed).

Rikmenspoel, R. (1984) Movements and active moments of bull sperm flagella as a function of temperature and viscosity. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **108**, 205–230. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/108/1/205.short (10 December 2014, date last accessed).

Schneider, W. R. and Doetsch, R. N. (1974) Effect of viscosity on bacterial motility. *J. Bacteriol.*, **117**, 696–701.

Schuech, R. and Menden-Deuer, S. (2013) Going ballistic in the plankton: anisotropic swimming behaviour of marine protists. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **4**, 1–16.

Seuront, L., Lacheze, C., Doubell, M. J., Seymour, J. R., Van Dongen-Vogels, V., Newton, K., Alderkamp, A. C. and Mitchell, J. G. (2007) The influence of *Phaeocystis globosa* on microscale spatial patterns of chlorophyll a and bulk-phase seawater viscosity. *Biogeochemistry*, **83**, 173–188. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10533-007-9097-z (14 July 2014, date last accessed).

Seuront, L., Leterme, S. C., Seymour, J. R., Mitchell, J. G., Ashcroft, D., Noble, W., Thomson, P. G., Davidson, A. T. *et al.* (2010) Role of microbial and phytoplanktonic communities in the control of seawater viscosity off East Antarctica (30–80° E). *Deep Sea Res. Part II*, **57**, 877–886. http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967064509003956 (18 July 2014, date last accessed).

Seuront, L. and Strutton, P. eds. (2004) *Scaling Methods in Aquatic Ecology, Measurement, Analysis, Simulation*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

Seuront, L. and Vincent, D. (2008) Increased seawater viscosity, *Phaeocystis globosa* spring bloom and *Temora longicornis* feeding and swimming behaviours. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **363**, 131–145. http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v363/p131-145/ (27 June 2014, date last accessed).

Sleigh, M. A. (1991) Mechanisms of flagellar propulsion. *Protoplasma*, **164**, 45–53. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01320814 (7 August 2014, date last accessed).

Smith, D. J., Gaffney, E. A., Gadelha, H., Kapur, N. and Kirkman-Brown, J. C. (2009) Bend propagation in the flagella of migrating human sperm, and its modulation by viscosity. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton*, **66**, 220–236. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19243024 (21 January 2014, date last accessed).

Sohn, M. H., Lim, S., Seo, K. W. and Lee, S. J. (2013) Effect of ambient medium viscosity on the motility and flagella motion of *Prorocentrum minimum* (Dinophyceae). *J. Plankton Res.*, **35**, 1294–1304. http://www.plankt.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/plankt/fbt071 (30 May 2014, date last accessed).

Stocker, R. and Durham, W. M. (2009) Tumbling for stealth? *Science*, **325**, 400–402.

Svensen, C. and Kiørboe, T. (2000) Remote prey detection in *Oithona similis*: hydromechanical versus chemical cues. *J. Plankton Res.*, **22**, 1155–1166.

Taylor, G. I. (1921) Diffusion by continuous movements. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **2**, 196–212.

Tesson, B. and Latz, M. I. (2015) Mechanosensitivity of a rapid bioluminescence reporter system assessed by atomic force microscopy. *Biophys. J.*, **108**, 1341–1351. http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006349515001691 (17 April 2015, date last accessed).

Titelman, J. (2001) Swimming and escape behavior of copepod nauplii: implications for predator-prey interactions among copepods. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **213**, 203–213.

Visser, A. W. (2001) Hydromechanical signals in the plankton. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **222**, 1–24.

Visser, A. W. (2007) Motility of zooplankton: fitness, foraging and predation. *J. Plankton Res.*, **29**, 447–461. http://www.plankt.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/plankt/fbm029 (4 March 2013, date last accessed).

Visser, A. W. and Kiørboe, T. (2006) Plankton motility patterns and encounter rates. *Oecologia*, **148**, 538–546. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586112 (29 January 2013, date last accessed).

Weissburg, M. J. (2000) The fluid dynamical context of chemosensory behavior. *Biol. Bull.*, **198**, 188–202. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10786940 (26 September 2013, date last accessed).

Yen, J. (2000) Life in transition: balancing inertial and viscous forces by planktonic copepods. *Biol. Bull.*, **198**, 213–224. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10786942 (12 August 2014, date last accessed).

Zaret, R. E. (1980) The animal and its viscous environment. In Kerfoot, C. W. (ed.), *Special Symposia American Society of Limnology and Oceanography*. University Press of New England, Hanover, pp. 3–9.

Downloaded from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Rhode Island Library on February 17, 2016